

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,346	12/03/2001	Harry A. Glorikian	P696C1	4095	
24739 CENTRAL CO	7590 12/16/200 DAST PATENT AGEN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
3 HANGAR WAY SUITE D			BHATIA, AJAY M		
WATSONVIL	LE, CA 95076	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2445	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/006,346	GLORIKIAN, HARRY A.						
Examiner	Art Unit						
AJAY BHATIA	2445						

	AJAY BHATIA	2445	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Action on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended to the sunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the st of thin (b) above, if checked, Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be         <ul> <li>They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> </ol>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
<ol> <li>Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ol>			

/Larry D Donaghue/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant in discussing the application Hollenberg argues that the operation of is not inherent because it may occur. This incorrect the operation of its prior at 11 pedestrian mode anticipates the daims as presently written, because the present invention operates in a multitude of modes does not precluded it from being used as prior at that anticipate the present feature. Therefore examiner finds this arrument not persuasive.

Applicant also argues that different information is selected and delivered, In discussing in Col. 8 lines 6-25, the prior art draw a distinction between motorist and decision makers, showing a distinction from stationary or moving. Therefore this too also fails to persuade to distinguish from the prior art.

Applicant has not submitted any argument that distinguish over the prior art, therefore the prior art rejection is maintained.

Continuation of 13. Other: Please note the IDS provided fails to provide a statement under 1.97(e) after final assert the time at which the document were made aware to applicant, therefore the documents are not considered.